Lipopolysaccharide is transported to the cell surface by a membrane-to-membrane protein bridge
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Gram-negative bacteria have an outer membrane that serves as a barrier to noxious agents in the environment. This protective function is dependent on lipopolysaccharide, a large glycolipid located in the outer leaflet of the outer membrane. Lipopolysaccharide is synthesized at the cytoplasmic membrane and must be transported to the cell surface. To understand this transport process, we reconstituted membrane-to-membrane movement of lipopolysaccharide by incorporating purified inner and outer membrane transport complexes into separate proteoliposomes. Transport involved stable association between the inner and outer membrane proteoliposomes. Our results support a model in which lipopolysaccharide molecules are pushed one after the other in a PEZ dispenser–like manner across a protein bridge that connects the inner and outer membranes.

The cell envelope of Gram-negative bacteria consists of an outer membrane (OM) and an inner membrane (IM). The outer membrane has an asymmetric structure, with the outer leaflet composed of lipopolysaccharide (LPS) and the inner leaflet composed of phospholipid. LPS is a large glycolipid with six fatty acyl chains and numerous sugars (Fig. 1A). LPS must be transported from its site of synthesis at the inner (cytoplasmic) membrane across the aqueous space between the two membranes (the periplasm) to the cell surface (2–4). Lipid transport is fundamental to cellular physiology; however, it is not known how this membrane-to-membrane LPS transport is accomplished or how transport against a concentration gradient is achieved given that there is no adenosine 5′-triphosphate (ATP) in the periplasm (5–9).

LPS transport requires seven lipopolysaccharide transport (Lpt) proteins (10, 11). At the inner membrane, the heteromeric ATP-binding cassette transporter (LptBFGC) associates with a membrane-bound protein (LptC) to form an inner membrane complex. This complex uses ATP hydrolysis to extract LPS from the outer leaflet of the inner membrane and transfer it to LptC and then to a periplasmic protein, LptA (11–16). LPS is then transported across the periplasm to an outer membrane translocon, LptDE, a large β-barrel protein with a separate luminal protein plug. LptDE inserts LPS into the outer leaflet of the outer membrane (17–21).

Indirect evidence suggests that LptA associates with LptC and LptD to form a protein bridge that spans the periplasm, but the existence of such a bridge has not been established (Fig. 1A) (22–25).

To investigate whether LPS is transported via a protein bridge, we sought to reconstitute LPS transport using purified components. First, to monitor LPS transfer to LptC, we purified LptBFGC with the photocrosslinkable amino acid p-benzoylphenylalanine (pBPA) incorporated in LptC at an LPS binding site (fig. S1) (24, 26). We incorporated the complex into liposomes containing LPS and confirmed adenosine triphosphatase (ATPase) activity (fig. S2). We incubated the proteoliposomes with ATP for various lengths of time before exposing them to ultraviolet (UV) light. We observed increasing cross-linking of LPS to LptC over time and in a manner that was dependent on ATP (Fig. 1B).

Next, we wanted to observe LPS transfer to LptA in our purified system and test whether this transfer depends on LptC. We incubated proteoliposomes containing either LptBFG or LptBFGC with LptA containing pBPA (24). We also prepared liposomes containing the inactive mutant LptB-EI63Q in the LptBFGC complex (15). We observed that LPS cross-linked to LptA, and the extent of cross-linking was strongly stimulated by LptC (Fig. 1C). This is consistent with earlier in vivo experiments that suggest that LPS is transferred from LptC to LptA (24).

With the goal of achieving transport to a second membrane, we generated proteoliposomes containing the outer membrane LptD translocon with pBPA incorporated in LptD (fig. S1) (27). Previous work suggests that LptA preferentially associates with the outer membrane (22). Therefore, we preincubated the outer membrane proteoliposomes with excess LptA, isolated the LptA-associated outer membrane proteoliposomes, and incubated them with LptBFGC proteoliposomes containing LPS. LPS cross-linked strongly to LptD in the presence of ATP (Fig. 2A and fig. S4). We did not observe cross-linking without LptBFGC or LptA. Thus, all seven Lpt proteins and ATP are necessary and sufficient for membrane-to-membrane transport to occur.

We tested if the rate of LPS transport was affected by ATP concentration using two concentrations flanking the Michaelis constant (K_m) for ATP hydrolysis (fig. S2). Cross-linking intensity was greater at the low ATP concentration and persisted for a longer period (Fig. 2B). At high ATP concentrations, the LPS likely moves more quickly through the site where pBPA is incorporated in LptD. This would decrease the probability of cross-link formation because cross-linking efficiency of a ligand to a protein increases with ligand residence time (28). These observations are consistent with a process that is powered by ATP hydrolysis.

We wanted to monitor flux of LPS through the pathway at two sites simultaneously, so we incorporated pBPA into both LptC and LptD and monitored cross-linking over time. Cross-links between LPS and LptC were observed at the same time as cross-links between LPS and LptD (Fig. 2C). This suggests that release from the inner membrane is coincident with arrival at LptD. Although this is not direct evidence, this result is consistent with rapid transit across a bridge.

If the bridge model is correct, it should be possible to observe an LptA-dependent association between inner and outer membrane Lpt complexes. To allow analysis by flow cytometry, we labeled inner membrane and outer membrane proteoliposomes with different fluorophores and confirmed that transport activity was maintained (Fig. 3A and figs. S5 to S7) (29, 30). Inner membrane or outer membrane proteoliposomes analyzed alone showed fluorescence only in a single channel, as expected (fig. S8). Next, we mixed inner and outer membrane proteoliposomes in the presence or absence of LptA. Without LptA, flow cytometry revealed two populations of fluorescent particles corresponding to either inner or outer membrane proteoliposomes (Fig. 3B, top left panel). Addition of LptA, in contrast, resulted in a large increase in particles with signal in both fluorescence channels. Most of these two-color particles localized to a distinct population (population B) located approximately along the diagonal between the individual IM and OM populations, but there was also a region of more dispersed particles located between the IM population and population B, termed population A (Fig. 3B, top right panel).

We wanted to know whether the two-color particles were the result of either liposome fusion or nonspecific association. A fluorescence dequenching assay showed that fusion did not occur in our system (fig. S9) (37). Furthermore, we repeated the flow cytometry experiments with a blocked LptA variant containing a protein tag that impedes interaction with LptC or, in a separate experiment, with a truncated LptD variant that is incapable of interaction with LptA. LptA-dependent proteoliposome association was not observed in either case (Fig. 3B, lower panels, and fig. S8). In toto, these data demonstrate that LptA drives a
specific, protein-mediated association between inner and outer membrane proteoliposomes.

We used our flow cytometry system to measure the extent to which LptA stimulates interactions between inner and outer membrane proteoliposomes. We quantified the number of particles in each population (IM, OM, A, and B in Fig. 3, B and C, and table SI). In the absence of LptA, the majority of particles were in either the inner membrane (IM) population (49.5%) or the outer membrane (OM) population (42.5%), whereas the A and B populations were small (4.2 and 3.8% respectively). Addition of LptA led to a 15-fold increase in the particles in the B population (60%) and a fivefold increase in the A population (23.1%). Thus, LptA causes a high proportion of the proteoliposomes to associate with one another.

Our final objective was to visualize the LptA-dependent association of inner and outer membrane proteoliposomes using confocal microscopy. We used fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS)

---

**Fig. 1. Energy-dependent LPS transport to LptA is stimulated by LptC.**

(A) Bridge model of LPS biogenesis and chemical structure of *Escherichia coli* LPS. The *E. coli* lipopolysaccharide transport proteins and *E. coli* LPS were used for all experiments presented here. LptBFG extracts LPS from the inner membrane and transports it to LptC using energy from ATP hydrolysis. Additional energy from ATP hydrolysis is harnessed to push LPS from LptC to membrane and transports it to LptC using energy from ATP hydrolysis.

Additional energy from ATP hydrolysis is harnessed to push LPS from LptC to LptA. Kdo, 3-deoxy-α-manno-octa-2-ulosonic acid; Hep, α-glycerol-β-manno-heptose; Gld, α-glucose; Gal, α-galactose. (B) LPS photocrosslinks to LptC in an ATP- and time-dependent manner. Assays were initiated by adding 5 mM ATP or buffer (“− ATP”) to proteoliposomes containing LPS and LptBFGC-T47pBPA. (C) Time dependence of LPS release to LptA. Assays were initiated by adding 5 mM ATP to proteoliposomes containing LPS and LptBFG, LptB-E163Q-LptFGC, or LptBFGC mixed with soluble LptA-I36pBPA. In (B) and (C), aliquots were taken at the indicated time points and UV-irradiated. Cross-linking was detected by immunoblotting. Cartoons show experimental designs of the reconstituted systems. Proteins and LPS can be inserted into liposomes in either orientation, but only the productive orientation is shown for simplicity. The yellow star denotes the photocrosslinking amino acid.

---

**Fig. 2. Reconstitution of membrane-to-membrane LPS transport.**

(A) Seven Lpt proteins and ATP are necessary and sufficient to observe LPS cross-linking to LptD. Proteoliposomes containing LptD-Y112pBPA/LptE and associated LptA were incubated with LPS-containing liposomes with or without LptBFGC. Assays were initiated with 5 mM ATP (or buffer). (B) LPS transport to LptD depends on time and ATP concentration. Assays were conducted as in (A), initiating with either 0.5 mM or 5 mM ATP. (C) LPS simultaneously cross-links to LptC and LptD. Proteoliposomes containing purified LptD-Y112pBPA/LptE with LptA were incubated with proteoliposomes containing LPS and LptBFGC-T47pBPA. In (A) to (C), aliquots were taken at the indicated time points and UV-irradiated. Cross-linking was detected by immunoblotting. Cartoons show experimental designs of the reconstituted systems. Proteins and LPS can be inserted into liposomes in either orientation, but only the productive orientation is shown for simplicity. The yellow star denotes the photocrosslinking amino acid.
to isolate particles from the four populations observed by flow cytometry (Fig. 3B and fig. S10). Images of the single-color populations (IM or OM) confirmed that they contained only inner or outer membrane proteoliposomes (Fig. 4A). In contrast, the B population contained both inner and outer membrane proteoliposomes with the majority colocalized (fig. S11). This high level of colocalization persisted for at least an hour (Fig. 4B and fig. S12). The A population also contained inner and outer membrane proteoliposomes but had a low level of colocalization (Fig. 4B). The nature of the A population is unclear, but one possibility is that this population contains proteoliposomes that formed unstable complexes that dissociated variant of LptD and an N-terminal blocked LptA were used in separate experiments as controls to substitute the corresponding Lpt components in the reaction mixtures. Samples were incubated as described for cross-linking experiments, initiating with buffer instead of ATP. After incubation, samples were diluted 10-fold and analyzed on a BD FACS Aria flow cytometer. Equivalent particle distributions were observed in the presence of ATP. (C) Distribution of particle counts in gated populations shown in (B). Data were normalized such that percentages of counts represent the portion of the total number of counts in all gated subpopulations. Data represent the mean and SD of triplicate experiments.
following flow cytometry. The bridge and chaperone models of transport can be differentiated by lifetime of the complexes. The LptA-induced association of inner and outer membrane proteoliposomes observed in the B population is not transient, which provides clear evidence for the protein bridge. Notably, these long-lived complexes remain functional. Cross-links between LptD and LPS were observed when ATP was added to the sorted B population (fig. S13).

Our biochemical and flow cytometry experiments show that transport of LPS is mediated by a protein bridge. Based on the dimensions of the periplasm, one or two LptAs would be sufficient, together with LptC and the periplasmic domain of LptD, to span the necessary distance. It is unclear whether there is a fixed stoichiometry of LptA in cells, but LptA is synthesized in cells at the same rate as the membrane components of the Lpt pathway (32).

A long-standing question in the field is how energy generated in the cytoplasm can be harnessed to drive LPS molecules to the cell surface against a concentration gradient. The existence of a bridge provides a possible explanation. In our model, LptBFGC extracts LPS molecules from the inner membrane and loads them into the bridge. Each new LPS molecule then pushes molecules already in the bridge toward the outer membrane. This is similar to the action of a PEZ dispenser, in which candies at the top of a stack are pushed out of the top of the dispenser by a spring acting at the base. The PEZ model allows for efficient transport of LPS because movement along a single dimension is faster than movement involving a diffusible chaperone. Millions of LPS molecules must be transported during each round of cell division, and movement along a bridge makes this possible (J).
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How lipopolysaccharides bridge the gap
The outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria is composed of lipopolysaccharide, a large glycolipid that prevents drugs from entering the cells. Disrupting lipopolysaccharide assembly hypersensitizes bacteria to antibiotics. Sherman et al. used biochemical tools to observe lipopolysaccharide transport. Seven proteins, which are conserved in all Gram-negative bacteria, appear to form a protein bridge that uses adenosine triphosphate to power transport of lipopolysaccharide from one membrane to another. The ability to monitor intermembrane transport of lipopolysaccharide will help in efforts to develop and characterize inhibitors.
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