Fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy (FLIM) detects differences in metabolic signatures between euploid and aneuploid human blastocysts
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STUDY QUESTION: Can non-invasive imaging with fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy (FLIM) detect metabolic differences in euploid versus aneuploid human blastocysts?

SUMMARY ANSWER: FLIM has identified significant metabolic differences between euploid and aneuploid blastocysts.

WHAT IS KNOWN ALREADY: Prior studies have demonstrated that FLIM can detect metabolic differences in mouse oocytes and embryos and in discarded human blastocysts.

STUDY DESIGN, SIZE, DURATION: This was a prospective observational study from August 2019 to February 2020. Embryo metabolic state was assessed using FLIM to measure the autofluorescence metabolic factors nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide dehydrogenase together with nicotinamide adenine phosphate dinucleotide dehydrogenase (NAD(P)H) and flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD). Eight metabolic FLIM parameters were obtained from each blastocyst (four for NAD(P)H and four for FAD): short (T1) and long (T2) fluorescence lifetime, fluorescence intensity (I) and fraction of the molecules engaged with enzymes (F). The redox ratio (NAD(P)H/I) / (FAD-I) was also calculated for each image.

PARTICIPANTS/MATERIALS, SETTING, METHODS: This study was performed at a single academically affiliated centre where there were 156 discarded frozen blastocysts (n = 17 euploids; 139 aneuploids) included. Ploidy status was determined by pre-implantation genetic testing for aneuploidy (PGT-A). Discarded human blastocysts were compared using single FLIM parameters. Additionally, inner cell mass (ICM) and trophectoderm (TE) were also evaluated. Multilevel models were used for analysis. A post-hoc correction used Benjamini–Hochberg’s false discovery rate, at a q-value of 0.05.

MAIN RESULTS AND THE ROLE OF CHANCE: Comparing euploid (n = 17) versus aneuploid (n = 139) embryos, a significant difference was seen in NAD(P)H-F (P < 0.04), FAD-I (P < 0.04) and redox ratio (P < 0.05). Euploid ICM (n = 15) versus aneuploid ICM (n = 119) also demonstrated significantly different signatures in NAD(P)H-F (P < 0.009), FAD-I (P < 0.03) and redox ratio (P < 0.03). Similarly, euploid TE (n = 15) versus aneuploid TE (n = 119) had significant differences in NAD(P)H-F (P < 0.0001) and FAD-I (P < 0.04).

LIMITATIONS, REASONS FOR CAUTION: This study utilized discarded human blastocysts, and these embryos may differ metabolically from non-discarded human embryos. The blastocysts analysed were vitrified after PGT-A biopsy and it is unclear how the vitrification process may affect the metabolic profile of blastocysts. Our study was also limited by the small number of rare donated euploid embryos.
Introduction

Optimizing embryo selection to improve ART outcome is a central objective in ART. The most widely used selection criterion has been the grading system based on embryo morphology. With the worldwide trend to culture embryos to the blastocyst stage, the use of an alphanumeric scoring system evaluating blastocyst expansion, inner cell mass (ICM) and trophectoderm (TE) to grade blastocysts is widely used (Schoolcraft et al., 1999). Prior studies have shown correlations in blastocyst morphology with implantation and live birth (Gardner et al., 2000a; Ahlström et al., 2011; Van Den Abbeel et al., 2013) but the relative contributions of the ICM and TE are still disputed (Hill et al., 2013; Irani et al., 2017). Thus, morphological embryo assessment is accepted to be helpful, but it has well-known limitations (Wong et al., 2014). A number of studies have also shown that euploid blastocysts with varying morphology had similar implantation rates (Capalbo et al., 2014; Shear et al., 2020). Even embryos with deviant morphology and suboptimal morphokinetics of embryo development may still result in a live birth (Stecher et al., 2014). One parameter that has been shown to distinguish embryos with the same morphological scores is metabolism (Gardner et al., 2011), suggesting that morphology is not clearly connected to the underlying biochemical variables necessary for embryo viability (Gardner et al., 2000b). Furthermore, prior studies have measured the oxygen consumption rate in pre-implantation blastocyst development to better understand the changes in mitochondrial function (Hashimoto et al., 2017; Muller et al., 2019).

A number of innovations in ART pertaining to embryo selection have shown some promise but have not yet demonstrated clinical utility. Time-lapse imaging with conventional light microscopy can be used to record the dynamics of cleavage and changes in embryo morphology. Prior studies have shown limited evidence of a clinical benefit with time-lapse imaging (Armstrong et al., 2015; Goodman et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2018). There has previously been great excitement about the potential to combine time-lapse with artificial intelligence to improve embryo selection (Fernandez et al., 2020). Some approaches attempt to develop ‘black-box’ predictions of embryo quality (Simopoulou et al., 2018; VerMilyea et al., 2020), while others seek to automatically extract biologically and clinically interpretable information from the time-lapse movies (Leahy et al., 2020). While the use of artificial intelligence to aid embryo selection shows promise (Tran et al., 2019; VerMilyea et al., 2020), there are both practical and ethical issues that need to be addressed before such methods can be responsibly made part of clinic treatment (Afnan et al., 2021). Other black-box approaches included metabolomic assessment of embryo culture media using near-infrared spectroscopy as an indicator of embryo viability (Hardarson et al., 2012; Vergouw et al., 2012). The failure of this method in randomized trials provided a warning of the difficulty in translating these methodologies to the clinic.

Pre-implantation genetic testing for aneuploidy (PGT-A) by TE biopsy has been advocated for aiding in embryo selection by determining the ploidy status of an embryo. While some studies of PGT-A have shown increased pregnancy rates (Scott et al., 2013) mainly in older patients (Munné et al., 2019; Murphy et al., 2019), there are numerous mitigating factors worthy of consideration, including cost, the invasive nature of TE biopsy, differences in PGT-A rates among clinics and copy number variant (mosaicism) interpretation (Capalbo et al., 2016; Practice Committees of the American Society for Reproductive Medicine and the Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology, 2018; Practice Committee and Genetic Counseling Professional Group (GCPG) of the American Society for Reproductive Medicine, 2020). PGT-A has provided numerous lessons in over-interpreting embryo analysis. Numerous studies have shown that the TE biopsy may or may not always accurately represent the status of the whole embryo (Chuang et al., 2018; Popovic et al., 2019; Victor et al., 2019). Of greatest concern, however, is the fact that blastocysts diagnosed as mosaic, which could potentially be discarded, have been shown to be viable (Capalbo et al., 2021). In addition, there are still up to 40% of euploid embryos that fail to implant (Scott et al., 2013) possibly due to other non-genetic factors or metabolic dysfunction. The development of non-invasive PGT-A (niPGT-A) to analyse conditioned blastocyst culture media may help alleviate the need for an invasive TE biopsy and may cost less (Rubio et al., 2020). Proponents of niPGT-A and artificial intelligence scores of embryos are arguing that ranking of embryos could be a better approach so that the possibility of discarding viable embryos is drastically reduced. In reality, this approach would be the most beneficial and was originally proposed in other metabolic assessment technologies (Seli et al., 2010).

Non-invasive metabolic imaging that measures cellular metabolism has been used in many fields (Becker, 2012), but its use in reproductive biology is limited. A prior study used non-invasive optical imaging by hyperspectral microscopy and detected variance in metabolic activity by ploidy status in a mouse model (Tan et al., 2020) and by the influence of oxygen levels in vitro in a bovine model (Sutton-Mcdowall et al., 2017). Another non-invasive imaging modality, fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy (FLIM) was able to detect metabolic differences in mouse oocytes (Sanchez et al., 2018) and embryos (Ma et al., 2019; Sanchez et al., 2019; Seidler et al., 2020). Furthermore, one
study utilizing FLIM was able to show intricate metabolic differences in human blastocysts when comparing their day of development, embryo expansion stage, ICM and TE (Venturas et al., 2022).

Given the promising FLIM results from prior mouse model and discarded human blastocyst studies, continued exploration of this technology is warranted to see if it can aid in embryo selection. The purpose of our study was to investigate whether non-invasive FLIM can detect metabolic differences between euploid and aneuploid human blastocysts. Additionally, we explored these metabolic differences within the ICM and TE separately.

Materials and methods

This was a prospective observational study at a single academically affiliated centre from August 2019 to February 2020. Human blastocysts were discarded and donated for research under determinations by the Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center and New England institutional review boards (New England IRB WO 1-6450-1). Ploidy status (euploid versus aneuploid) for all embryos were determined by PGT-A using next-generation sequencing from a TE biopsy followed by immediate vitrification. Embryos were deemed euploid or aneuploid, and embryonic mosaicism data were not reported. The majority of embryos were either Day 5 or 6 with only four embryos that were Day 7 and were of Schoolcraft and Gardner (Schoolcraft et al., 1999) morphology grade A or B except three embryos which were Grade C. Morphology and expansion assessment were performed prior to vitrification.

All discarded vitrified blastocysts were warmed and cultured for 2 h in individual drops of 50 µl of continuous single culture complete media with human serum albumin media overlain with mineral oil in an incubator at 37°C, 7% CO₂ and 6% O₂ prior to collecting images with FLIM. Blastocysts were vitrified and warmed using the FUJIFILM Irvine Scientific vitrification system (Santa Ana, CA, USA). Once embryos were warmed and expanded, embryos were transferred into a custom glass-bottom microwell dish with 80 µm of culture media overlain with mineral oil and imaged. The FLIM on-stage incubation system (Iibi GmbH, Martinsried, Germany) was temperature controlled (37°C) and set at 7% CO₂ and 6% O₂ to maintain culture conditions while imaging.

Fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy

FLIM measurements were performed on a Nikon TE300 (Nikon, Japan) microscope using two-photon excitation from a Ti:Sapphire pulsed laser (M-squared Lasers, UK) with an 80 MHz repetition rate and 150 fs pulse width, a Galvo scanner that reflected the laser into the sample plane and scanned the focal point across the imaging field of view, time-correlated single-photon counting module (SPC-150, Becker and Hickl, Germany), and a hybrid single-photon counting detector (HPM-100-40, Becker and Hickl, Germany). Imaging was performed using a 20X Nikon objective with 0.75 numerical aperture (CFI Apo 20X, NA 0.75, Nikon). The fluorescence spectra of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide dehydrogenase (NADH) and nicotinamide adenine phosphate dinucleotide dehydrogenase (NAD(P)H) are almost indistinguishable, and the resulting fluorescence combined from these two molecules is often referred to as the NAD(P)H signal (Ghukasyan and Heikal, 2014). An optical bandpass filter of 447/60 nm for (NAD(P)H) (BrightLine, Semrock, USA) and 550/88 nm for flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD; Chroma technologies) was positioned in a filter wheel in front of the detector and an additional 650 nm short pass filter was mounted on the detector (Chroma Technology Corp., Bellow Falls, VT, USA). The laser excitation wavelengths for NAD(P)H and FAD imaging were set to 750 and 890 nm, respectively. The powers measured at the objective were used were 12 mW for NAD(P)H and 20 mW for FAD and they were measured at the sample plane. Each NAD(P)H and FAD image was acquired with 60’s of scanning integration time. For each blastocyst, the ICM was identified and three Z-planes, seven microns apart were acquired using a customized motorized stage (CONEX TRA12CC actuators, Newport, USA). The ICM and TE of each Z-plane were manually segmented and were used for a portion of the analysis. All of the electronics were controlled by SPCM software (Becker and Hickl, Germany) and custom LabVIEW software.

Data analysis

Data were analysed using custom code in MATLAB version R2019b (MathWorks, USA). Supervised machine learning (Illastik, version 1.0) was used to segment intracellular versus background NAD(P)H and FAD intensity images of blastocysts. The algorithm was trained using 40 NAD(P)H and FAD intensity images. For each segment region, the photon arrival time histogram was fit assuming a two-exponential decay model:

\[
P(t) = A[(1 - F + e^{-t/\tau_1}) + (F + e^{-t/\tau_2})] + B,
\]

where \(A\) is a normalization factor, \(B\) is the background, \(\tau_1\) is the short lifetime, \(\tau_2\) is the long lifetime and \(F\) is the fraction of molecule with long lifetime (fraction engaged with enzymes for NAD(P)H and unengaged for FAD). We convolved this function with a measured instrument response function to model the experimental data and then performed a least-square fit that yielded quantitative values for these parameters. Eight metabolic parameters were obtained from each blastocyst (four for NAD(P)H and four for FAD): fluorescence intensity, short and long lifetimes, and fraction of the molecules engaged with enzymes. We calculated the fluorescence intensity for each embryo by dividing the number of photons by the area of the embryo and number of integrated scans. The redox ratio (NAD(P)H intensity)/(FAD intensity) was also calculated for each image.

Statistical analysis

We used Stata Statistical Software version 16.0 (LLC Stata Corp, College Station, TX, USA) to perform all statistical analyses. R Studio Version 1.3.959 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) was used to plot the results. Our data have a hierarchical structure, three images per embryo and one to seven embryos per patient. For this reason, we used multilevel models (Snijder and Bosker, 2011) to analyse our data. A post-hoc correction using Benjamini–Hochberg’s false discovery rate (FDR), at a q-value of 0.05. FDR P-values <0.05 was considered significant. We also used a bootstrap resampling method to further investigate the statistical significance of our results: we iteratively resampled the dataset, with 100 draws and 100 repeats. We performed additional analysis to control
for the imbalance in the number of euploid and aneuploid embryos: we reran each test with a subset of the data consisting of 17 euploid embryos and 17 randomly selected aneuploid embryos. We then repeated this analysis 30 times and calculated the percentage of times that FLIM parameters showed significant association with the ploidy status of the embryo. A prior study that included the embryos in this cohort and non-PGT-A embryos found significant metabolic differences in the day of blastocyst development after fertilization and in the stage of blastocyst expansion but not in morphology (Venturas et al., 2022). We controlled for day of blastocyst development, stage of blastocyst expansion, morphology and maternal age by having these as covariates in the multilevel modelling.

Results

There were 156 discarded blastocysts of known ploidy included from 110 patients. The mean maternal age (±SD) was 36 ± 4 years and mean (±SD) body mass index (kg/m²) was 26 ± 5. Figure 1 shows sample blastocyst images collected with FLIM showing the intensity autofluorescence and the segmentation of the ICM and TE. While present in the cytoplasm, NAD(P)H is more highly concentrated in the mitochondria. FAD is almost exclusively localized to the mitochondria. Hence it is the heterogeneous spatial distribution of the mitochondria within the cells and between cells that leads to the visually apparent differences in fluorescence intensity. In Sanchez et al. (2019), their second figure, both the co-localization of NADH/FAD with mitochondria and the heterogeneity of mitochondria within the cells were demonstrated using a mitochondrial-specific fluorescence label, mitotracker. Mitochondrial clustering is a common feature in cells and sometimes occurs around the perinuclear region. However, we observe a notably lower fluorescence signal within the nuclei, as NAD(P)H and FAD are excluded from the nucleus (Venturas et al., 2022). We do not observe an apparent difference between the intensities of the ICM and the TE. There is unidentified puncta in some of the cells that fluoresce strongly in the FAD fluorescence channel. They do not appear to be mitochondrial signal but may also contribute to the apparent clustering of the fluorescent signal in some regions.

When comparing euploid (n = 17) versus aneuploid (n = 139) blastocysts, significant differences were seen in the NAD(P)H fraction engaged (P < 0.04), the FAD intensity (P < 0.04) and the redox ratio (P < 0.05; Fig. 2). NAD(P)H fraction engaged was still significant when

![Figure 1. Sample images of human blastocysts using FLIM. (a) Sample blastocyst FLIM images showing intensity of NAD(P)H (top) and FAD (bottom); (b) machine learning-based segmented regions for analysis; (c) manual segmentation of the inner cell mass (magenta) and the trophectoderm (grey). The white line in the bottom right image represents 40 μm. FAD, flavin adenine dinucleotide; FLIM, fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy; NAD(P)H, nicotinamide adenine phosphate dinucleotide dehydrogenase.](https://academic.oup.com/humrep/advance-article/doi/10.1093/humrep/deac016/6519729)
controlling for the day of blastocyst development and the expansion stage. To further validate these results, we performed bootstrap resampling and found that these significant associations were upheld. To account for the imbalance in the number of euploid and aneuploid embryos, we reran this analysis using a subset of 17 aneuploid embryos chosen at random (i.e. equal in number to the euploid embryos). This analysis was repeated 30 times and we found significant associations 70% of the time with NAD(P)H fraction engaged, 30% of the time with FAD intensity and 13% of the time with redox ratio (and no significant associations with the other FLIM parameters).

Comparing euploid ICM (n = 15) versus aneuploid ICM (n = 119) also revealed statistically significant differences in the NAD(P)H fraction engaged (P < 0.009), the FAD intensity (P < 0.03) and the redox ratio (P < 0.03; Fig. 3). There were fewer embryos for this part of the analysis due to an inability to clearly manually segment the ICM in 22 embryos.

Figure 2. FLIM parameters, comparing euploid and aneuploid blastocysts. Adjusted variables: day of blastocyst development, stage of blastocyst expansion, morphology and maternal age. FLIM, fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy. * represents statistical significance (P < 0.05).

Figure 4 shows the comparison of euploid TE (n = 15) versus aneuploid TE (n = 119). This comparison similarly revealed statistically significant differences in the NAD(P)H fraction engaged (P < 0.0001) and FAD intensity (P < 0.04). To be consistent with the ICM analysis above, the same cohort of embryos was used for the TE analysis.

When comparing embryo biological sex, there were no statistically significant differences observed in any FLIM parameters between male (n = 82) and female (n = 74) embryos. Similarly, no differences were seen in any FLIM parameter when comparing embryo sex for euploid or aneuploid embryos.

A sub-analysis was performed of the aneuploid blastocysts by specific chromosomal abnormality (any monosomy/trisomy (n = 88), chaotic (n = 33), triploidy (n = 12) and autosomal monosomy (n = 6)). Supplementary Table SI shows a breakdown of the types of aneuploidies. These specific aneuploidies were compared to euploid blastocysts (n = 17) and demonstrated a significant difference in the NAD(P)H fraction engaged (P < 0.04) and the FAD intensity (P < 0.04), with the
redox ratio approaching significance ($P < 0.09$). When looking further at the NAD(P)H fraction engaged in the comparison of euploidy with each specific aneuploidy, only euploid versus any monosomy/trisomy showed a significant difference ($P < 0.02$) while euploid versus each other aneuploidy did not show a difference. There were no significant differences for FAD intensity and redox ratio when comparing euploid with each specific aneuploidy. Comparing only between different aneuploidies showed no significant differences amongst the specific aneuploidy groups.

**Discussion**

In this prospective observational study, the use of non-invasive FLIM has shown quantitative metabolic differences between euploid and aneuploid embryos. Significant differences were detected with FLIM when signals of the embryo as a whole and the ICM and the TE of euploid blastocysts were compared to their aneuploid counterparts. These results show that metabolic differences detected with FLIM can provide further information on human blastocysts that might eventually aid in embryo selection.

Currently, the available options for embryo selection include morphology (non-invasive but subjective), PGT-A (objective with caveats but invasive) and niPGT-A (non-invasive and objective with caveats). PGT-A involves a technical procedure in which embryologists manipulate the blastocysts to obtain four to eight cells from an invasive TE biopsy. With this biopsy technique come specific challenges in that there may be variation of results from the same biopsy in different PGT-A laboratories as well as different PGT-A results from multiple biopsies of the same blastocyst, leading to the possibility of discarding normal blastocysts (Gleicher et al., 2016; Patrizio et al., 2019). Although there is an increasing amount of research on artificial intelligence and niPGT-

**Figure 3. Inner cell mass (ICM) FLIM parameters, comparing euploid and aneuploid blastocysts.** Adjusted variables: day of blastocyst development, stage of blastocyst expansion, morphology and maternal age. FLIM, fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy. * represents statistical significance ($P < 0.05$).
A, these technologies have not yet been demonstrated to be clinically useful (Simopoulou et al., 2018; Tran et al., 2019; Fernandez et al., 2020; Leahy et al., 2020; Rubio et al., 2020; VerMilyea et al., 2020; Afnan et al., 2021). FLIM offers another possible means to select embryos and provides information on metabolism which existing clinical technologies do not provide. FLIM, however, would not be a methodology to provide a definitive black and white delineation of viable and non-viable or euploid or aneuploid. We would expect that FLIM parameters would provide a ranking of the embryo cohort, to prioritize the order in which to transfer blastocysts. Previous studies have shown that FLIM can identify metabolic differences between normal mouse oocytes and those with metabolic dysfunction (Sanchez et al., 2018), metabolic changes that occur during pre-implantation embryo development (Sanchez et al., 2019) and metabolic response to oxygen deprivation in the mouse model (Seidler et al., 2020). Safety studies demonstrate that FLIM measurements do not significantly damage mouse embryos (Sanchez et al., 2018, 2019). Furthermore, in the human model, FLIM has been able to detect metabolic differences in human blastocysts according to the day of development and expansion stage and identify the spatial pattern of the metabolic state between ICM and TE (Venturas et al., 2022).

It has been reported that euploid and aneuploid blastocysts have different amounts of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA; Ho et al., 2018). mtDNA copy number has been found to be significantly elevated in aneuploid embryos versus euploid embryos (Diez-Juan et al., 2015; Fragouli et al., 2015), and this test has been touted as a possible clinical tool (Fragouli et al., 2017). Euploid embryos that successfully implanted contained lower mtDNA quantities (Fragouli et al., 2015). However, the utility of mtDNA is controversial and has fallen out of favour as a marker of embryo viability (Treff et al., 2017). In contrast, the analysis of FLIM parameters in mouse oocyte models was shown to significantly improve the assessment of mitochondrial function when...
compared to quantification of mtDNA copy number (Sanchez et al., 2018).

A number of other technologies have been shown to distinguish euploid and aneuploid embryos. These include the use of proteomics (McReynolds et al., 2011), mass spectrometry for distinct spectral patterns (Pais et al., 2020) and Raman Spectroscopy for footprint profiling (Liang et al., 2019) when analysing blastocyst culture media. In one such study, Pais et al. (2020) were able to show distinct spectral patterns for euploid and aneuploid genotypes in embryo culture media and identified 12 characteristic peak signatures for euploid and 17 peak signatures for aneuploid embryos. These data further highlight the hypothesis that chromosomal abnormalities can lead to different metabolic and proteomic signatures.

From our analysis, the NAD(P)H fraction engaged showed significant differences for all comparisons of euploid versus aneuploid embryos. Recent work has established a framework to relate NAD(P)H fraction engaged in oocytes to mitochondrial metabolic fluxes (Yang and Needleman, 2021) but it is unclear whether this is applicable to blastocysts. Changes in the NAD(P)H fraction engaged could arise from interactions with complex I in the mitochondria, enzymes in the tricarboxylic acid cycle, enzymes involved with glycolysis or the pentose phosphate pathway. The NAD(P)H fraction engaged was higher in aneuploid embryos than in euploid embryos in our study. It is unclear if this association is due to aneuploidy inducing metabolic defects, or, conversely, defects in metabolism causing aneuploidy. It has previously been proposed that embryo viability is associated with the amount of metabolic function, with healthy embryos being relatively ‘quiet’ (Leese, 2002). It is possible that aneuploid embryos have elevated metabolic processes giving them a more ‘active’ metabolism, leading to potentially increased levels of reactive oxygen species causing deleterious effects in the embryo (Leese et al., 2007). Other studies have also attempted to investigate the relationship between maternal age and mitochondrial functions in human embryos. For example, Morimoto et al. (2020) reported that mitochondrial function at the morula stage of human embryos decreased with maternal age and a decrease of mitochondrial function was also associated with a slow-paced development and impaired developmental rate from morula to blastocysts. Tao et al. (2017) also reported that mtDNA was significantly increased in aneuploid mouse embryos compared to euploid embryos. It remains to be answered whether our own measurements are a surrogate marker of ploidy status or whether ploidy defects directly influence mitochondrial activity.

In a prior study by Sanchez et al. (2019), they recorded metabolic measurements of mouse embryos with the FLIM every 2 h for 48 h at powers of 30 mM for NAD(P)H and 50 mM for FAD with 60 s of scanning time for three Z-planes which equated to 144 min of total imaging per embryo. There was no difference in birth rate or pup weight between the illuminated and control groups (Sanchez et al., 2019). However, in our study, our power was one-third (12mW for NAD(P)H and 20 mW for FAD) and each embryo was imaged for only 6 min which provides reassurance that there was no harm to the embryo.

Several limitations of this study need to be acknowledged. This study utilized discarded human blastocysts, and these embryos may differ metabolically from non-discarded human embryos. The PGT-A results from the TE biopsy may not be an accurate indicator of the presence or absence of aneuploid cells in the ICM. The designation of blastocysts as aneuploid or euploid may contain blastocysts with varying populations of euploid and aneuploid cells (Capalbo et al., 2021). How these relative ratios of euploid to aneuploid cells may influence FLIM parameters is at this stage unknown. Also, the blastocysts analysed were vitrified after PGT-A biopsy, thawed and warmed for 2 h prior to FLIM analysis. A prior study reported that the respiratory rate of vitrified blastocysts after warming was lower than in non-cryopreserved blastocysts but oxygen consumption of blastocysts with high developmental potential was restored earlier than in blastocysts with low developmental potential (Yamanaka et al., 2011). However, a prior study reported that vitrification does not alter mitochondrial potential or health (Nohales-Córrcoles et al., 2016). Our study was limited by a small number of rare donated euploid embryos available for analysis. Euploid embryos are very rarely discarded due to their value to patients trying to conceive which limits their use for research purposes. However, we controlled for the imbalance with the bootstrap resampling analysis. An additional limitation is that aneuploid embryos may show both low and high extremes of metabolic behaviour as described by the Goldilocks/Lagom principle (Leese et al., 2019) and our analysis may have failed to account for this in comparing to euploid embryos.

Conclusions

We have shown that significant metabolic differences exist between euploid and aneuploid embryos which can be detected by FLIM. This preliminary data provide evidence that FLIM could be a useful non-invasive clinical tool to aid in embryo selection. Further data are needed to elucidate the causal relationship between ploidy status and metabolism. Future studies are planned to determine if metabolic signatures with FLIM can assist in embryo selection and how this correlates with pregnancy outcomes.
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